## APPEAL NO. 020552 FILED APRIL 16, 2002

This appeal arises pursuant to the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, TEX. LAB. CODE ANN. § 401.001 *et seq.* (1989 Act). A contested case hearing (CCH) was held on January 4, 2002. The appellant (claimant) did not appear at the CCH, but his attorney made an appearance on his behalf. The hearing officer's decision and order states that the claimant was sent a ten-day letter to show cause why he did not appear at the CCH and that the claimant did not respond. The hearing officer determined that the claimant did not sustain a compensable injury on \_\_\_\_\_\_. The claimant appealed, arguing that the hearing officer erred in determining compensability and that she abused her discretion. The respondent (carrier) filed a response urging affirmance of the hearing officer's determination.

## **DECISION**

Affirmed.

The claimant's attorney argued that the evidence showed that the claimant sustained a compensable injury on \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, when a coworker rubbed his neck and back; that the claimant has a preexisting condition, facet syndrome; that the claimant's preexisting condition was aggravated by the coworker rubbing his neck and back; and that the medical evidence shows that the claimant sustained a new and separate compensable injury on \_\_\_\_\_\_.

The medical reports in evidence show that on July 12, 1997, the claimant sought treatment from Dr. G and was diagnosed with facet syndrome and other conditions related to his hip and back. The claimant continued to treat with Dr. G before and after the alleged , for the same hip and back complaints as were treated on July 12, 1997. The medical reports of Dr. G reflect that the claimant initially complained of neck pain on January 17, 1998, and throughout 1998, the claimant complained of muscle spasms, swollen hands, neck, upper back, upper hip, feet, and leg pains. A thoracic spine MRI dated September 9, 1998, reflects "[m]inimal annular bulging or spondylosis but no disc herniation." A cervical spine MRI dated September 9, 1998, reflects "[n]o disc herniation. Minimal spondylosis but no disc herniation." On December 7 and 21, 1998, the claimant had diagnostic medial branch facet and therapeutic steroid injection procedures performed to treat his cervical facet syndrome; and on January 18 and 25, 1999, and January 24 and 31, 2000, the claimant had trigger point injections to treat his myofascial syndrome. A cervical spine MRI dated on March 16, 1999, reflects "no significant posterior disc bulge or disc herniation. There is no significant concentric cord stenosis or nerve root compression." A cervical spine MRI dated on April 1, 1999, reflects "[n]o change in the architecture of the cervical spine was seen." A CT scan dated December 13, 1999, reflects "very minimal degenerative change is present."

The hearing officer did not err in determining that the claimant did not sustain a

evidence that he sustained a Commission Appeal No. 94248 persuaded by the medical recordinjury in the course and scope of that the hearing officer's injury	ant has the burden of proving by a preponderance of the compensable injury. Texas Workers' Compensation 3, decided April 12, 1994. The hearing officer was not do in evidence that the claimant sustained a compensable of his employment on We are satisfied determination is not so against the great weight and as to be clearly wrong or manifestly unjust. Cain v. Bain 5).
in determining that the claimant of	er contention that the hearing officer abused her discretion did not sustain a compensable injury based on the medica discretion (Morrow v. H.E.B., Inc., 714 S.W.2d 297 (Tex
•	e of the insurance carrier is <b>AMERICAN MOTORISTS</b> he name and address of its registered agent for service o
	ORATION SERVICE COMPANY 800 BRAZOS AUSTIN, TEXAS 78701.
	Michael B. McShane Appeals Judge
CONCUR:	
Elaine M. Chaney Appeals Judge	
Susan M. Kelley Appeals Judge	